

**Carstens,
Yee &
Cahoon, L.L.P.**

13760 Noel Road
Suite 900
Dallas, Texas 75240

Main No. (972) 367-2001
Facsimile (972) 367-2002

Facsimile Cover Sheet

To: Examiner Lechi Truong Art Unit 2126	Facsimile No.: 703/746-9122 Main No. of Receiving Firm:
From: Stephen R. Tkacs/act Date: April 13, 2004	No. of Pages Including Cover Sheet: 4 total
Dear Ms. Truong, Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form and Agenda for Telephone Interview following.	
RE: application no. 09/583,411; docket no. AUS000153US1	

Please contact us at (972) 367-2001 if you do not receive all pages indicated above or experience any difficulty in receiving this facsimile.

This Facsimile is intended only for the use of the addressee and, if the addressee is a client or their agent, contains privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this facsimile, you have received this facsimile inadvertently and in error. Any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying is strictly prohibited. If you received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone and return the facsimile to us immediately.

PTOL-413A (08-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**

Application No.: 64/583,111 First Named Applicant: Kurt Russell Taylor
 Examiner: Lechi Truong Art Unit: 2120 Status of Application: Final Office Action issued

Tentative Participants:

(1) Stephen R. Hayes (2) _____
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 4/22/04 Proposed Time: 3:00 (AM/PM) EST

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>103</u>	<u>1-57</u>	<u>Soford, Whitehead & Dargle</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

See attached Agenda

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which it is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application: Taylor

Serial No.: 09/583,411

Filed: May 31, 2000

For: Method and Apparatus for
Bridging Service for Standard Object
Identifier Based Protocols§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Group Art Unit: 2126

Examiner: Truong, Lechi

Attorney Docket No.: AUS000153US1

Monday
26 at
1 PM.AGENDA FOR TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

Sir:

I would like to request a telephone interview on April 22, 2004. Please consider the following topics for discussion:

- Daigle teaches receiving queries for a Swedish Telephone Directory in two or more different protocols. However, Daigle does not teach an OID abstraction layer that is capable of receiving queries for objects in two or more different protocols.
- Receiving queries in a plurality of protocols may be generally known; however, an OID abstraction layer that is capable of receiving queries in a plurality of protocols is not shown in the prior art. Applying techniques used to locate people in a whitepages directory service in an OID abstraction layer would require undue experimentation and would amount to an inventive leap that can only be made with the benefit of Applicants' disclosure.
- Spofford does not teach or suggest an OID abstraction layer. Whitehead does not teach or suggest an OID abstraction layer that receives an OID tree structure from a repository and registers the tree structure with a registry associated with the OID abstraction layer. Daigle does not make up for the deficiencies of Spofford and Whitehead.

The Examiner is invited to call at the below-listed telephone number to confirm or reschedule the requested telephone interview.

Stephen R. Tkacs
Reg. No. 46,430
Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP
P.O. Box 802334
Dallas, TX 75380
(972) 367-2001